F5leschc Kapur - cross as I've noted before, that we used to personalize assets and give an optimistic view on assets to get better servicing from the bank. It also appears --

 THE COURT: When you say "personalize assets," can you be more specific about what you were saying to the bank?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. We were giving them the impression, since often the banks didn't know who owned the structures or the day-to-day team, assumed that the structure belonged to the people they were dealing with, we gave the impression that the assets belonged to us when they did not.

THE COURT: Let me be clear. You were representing to the banks that the money was yours personally, whereas, in fact, it was not?

THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And the reason for doing that was that you thought by representing to the bank that the assets here were yours personally, you would get better treatment than if you represented that they belonged to your company or your customers?

THE WITNESS: Than representing that -- yes, your Honor, because as an emerging manager in this industry, where people do managed accounts for hundreds of millions and billions of dollars, just doing one small account for a relatively small -- I don't know if it's a small client or not. We often didn't get the servicing that we expected and that our SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

136 F5leschc Kapur - cross clients expected to get, accurate timely and complete 1 2 reporting. 3 THE COURT: Okay. The Exhibit 3, SEC Exhibit 3, 4 contains in relevant part an e-mail exchange between you and a 5 Philip Stibolt of Bank Sarasin. And in it you say to 6 Mr. Stibolt that you've been -- you've spent five hours trying 7 to figure out certain transfers that had been made from CCO to 8 others. And then you write, quote, I am not one bit happy 9 about my hard-earned money being accounted for in this manner, 10 closed quote. And then you go on to say, quote, I urge you to 11 check every statement sent to me each month before it gets sent 12 so that I get accurate statements, which is the least I should 13 expect from my bank, closed quote. 14 The question is, is it your testimony that the money 15 in question belonged to an account holder, and you felt that by 16 using the word "my" -- my hard earned money, my bank, my 17 statements -- you thought you would get better treatment than 18 if you had said, my client's bank account, my client's 19 statement, my client's hard-earned money? Is that your 20 testimony? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 THE WITNESS: I would also note that it clearly 24 appears from this e-mail that some of the accounts were 25 negative and earned negative interest as well. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

F5leschc Kapur - cross 1 As it relates to the foundation structure --2 THE COURT: May I ask you this. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: In 2008, then, by your account, there were 4 5 account or accounts at Bank Sarasin of your customers, correct? 6 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 7 THE COURT: And you were in effect on their behalf 8 receiving statements and communicating with Mr. Stibolt? 9 THE WITNESS: That is correct. 10 THE COURT: Okay. What efforts have you made since 11 then to obtain a current statement of the accounts in question? In other words, I'm interested in finding out the account 12 13 activity in those accounts. You are by -- as you describe it, 14 the person dealing with the bank on behalf of your account 15 holders. What efforts have you made to try to obtain for 16 yourself and, therefore, for the Court, the accounts --17 activity in the account? I'm interested in what became of 18 those accounts. 19 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, once the project was 20 completed, we would be taken off the account. And so we would 21 have no control or access to the account, once the project 22 was --23 THE COURT: What project? 24 THE WITNESS: The managed account project, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: What concluded the managed account SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

F5leschc Kapur - cross
project?

THE WITNESS: When the client said that, you know,
the -- you know, they no longer wanted our services for
investment management administrative services that concluded
the project, and so we would have no access to the bank.

THE COURT: What client was this?
THE WITNESS: I don't know, your Honor. I don't
recall.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: As it relates to the foundation
structure from five years ago, I noted that there are three

structure from five years ago, I noted that there are three possibilities as it relates to that structure. It appears to me that the structure was set up for a private lender of my brother that may have been the private lender that lent Ms. Rai moneys. It also very possibly could have not been a private lender that lent Ms. Rai moneys but just knew the private lender that lent Ms. Rai moneys, or it could possibly have just been a client that knew my brother's private lenders. I feel that those are all feasible possibilities for whom the structure was possibly set up.

I would also note that the lender that provided moneys to Ms. Rai clearly had the same or similar name, and possibly even the same bank, but that could have been a totally different type of structure, could have had a different purpose. It could have been a charitable structure. It could SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

139 F5leschc Kapur - cross have been in a different jurisdiction. It could have been set 1 2 up by a different law firm. And just reflecting on the Exhibit 7 and the other --3 4 THE COURT: This is SEC Exhibit 7? 5 THE WITNESS: SEC Exhibit 7, as well as the other exhibits of the SEC, noting due diligence with the law firm, I 6 can't be 100 percent certain that the account was eventually 7 even set up with Bank Vontobel, although it does very much 8 appear that -- I don't see any account documents in the e-mail 9 10 with Bank Vontobel. Your Honor, I'd like to --11 THE COURT: May I ask you a question. 12 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: This exhibit, SEC 7, contains e-mails 15 dated June 2010, correct? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Now, by that point the Schwarzes had sued you the previous year, correct? 18 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: And what was the state of your business at 21 that point? 22 THE WITNESS: At that point a core fund was in 23 liquidation for about two years. Our resources were almost 24 fully depleted. And so there wasn't -- there was a nominal 25 amount of resources left. There would have been no reason for SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

F5leschc Kapur - cross

us to be setting up estate planning structures for ourselves or asset protection structures.

THE COURT: Were you representing any clients at that point? At that point were you still custodian of any client money?

THE WITNESS: We were setting up -- you know, we were trying to launch our, you know, managed account platform. We moved our managed account program into the AIM advisory entity, and we were trying to, you know, as noted in Defense Exhibit 8, trying to launch the diversified strategy managed account platform.

THE COURT: There was a point at which a receiver took over the moneys of ThinkStrategy, correct.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: When was that?

THE WITNESS: We put the fund into liquidation with PriceWaterhouse for the onshore fund in November, October November of 2010. And then, by the time the offshore fund got put into liquidation, I believe it was April, May of 2011.

THE COURT: And these e-mails are in June of 2010. So you're saying you still were managing some customers' accounts in June 2010?

THE WITNESS: No, your Honor. There wasn't any -this was just a structuring project. Once again, we did
structuring projects and unpaid managed accounts as a way to
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Kapur - cross F5leschc 1 win paid business. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm not understanding. Yes or 2 no, were you managing customer money in 2010? 3 THE WITNESS: Was I managing customer money in 2010? 4 THE COURT: June 2010. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: You were? 7 THE WITNESS: The funds were not in formal liquidation 8 9 with the receiver here. THE COURT: And Exhibit 7, SEC Exhibit 7, involves 10 communications from the MossFon Trust Corporation to you. Do 11 you see that? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Just explain to me, because I'm having 14 difficulty understanding what you're saying this relates to, 15 what was the purpose of your communicating in June 2010 with 16 the MossFon Trust Corporation with respect to the use of 17 vintage shell companies? 18 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, we had a client that wanted 19 to set up a structure. And that's what it clearly appears to 20 me, is that they wanted to set up a structure for the estate 21 planning needs. And we were helping them with that initially. 22 THE COURT: And what client was that? 23 THE WITNESS: I don't recall, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Over the course of your work for 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

142 F5leschc Kapur - cross ThinkStrategy, did you have one or more than one client who 1 2 wanted to set up such a structure for estate planning purposes? THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. We had several clients 3 as part of our managed account program, and we had several of 4 5 them that wanted to set up structures as part of the managed 6 account program. 7 And it's also quite difficult for me today to sit here 8 today and say -- you know, if you give me a name from our 9 entire list and said, okay, you know, this is a potential 10 client or this is a fund client and this is an institutional fund client and this is an institutional adviser client and 11 12 this is a managed account client, I'll have to take your word for it. I couldn't remember the difference between those 13 14 clients sitting here today. THE COURT: Overall as of 2010, how many clients did 15 16 you have? 17 THE WITNESS: As of 2010? 18 THE COURT: As of June 2010. 19 THE WITNESS: I would say between the onshore and the international fund, managed account, potential managed account, 20 21 I'd say hundreds of clients. 22 THE COURT: As you sit here now --23 THE WITNESS: Potential clients even higher. 24 THE COURT: As you sit here now, can you remember the 25 name of any client who asked you to set up a structure for SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

F5leschc Kapur - cross
estate planning purposes involving vintage shelf companies?
THE WITNESS: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I'd like to conclude, your Honor, by just highlighting a couple of issues that might speak to the credibility of some of the items presented by the SEC and the Schwarzes.

I'd first like to note that as it relates to the SEC, and as a matter of record, the capital fund returns in the A class and B class and the original claims was -- were knowingly inaccurate. They clearly had information from the fund of all the underlying self accounts in the capital fund A class. They knew the principal protection was available in the B class and, nonetheless, you know, took returns of just one of the subfunds, one of the submanagers in the capital fund A class to calculate their returns. And once the fund was closed, they used dormant bank accounts to calculate returns, compare that to former returns.

Subsequently, from information that was in the SEC's possession, the reported returns were confirmed as being fully accurate. And these were the returns that the investors received.

I'd also like to note that the SEC has noted that the Chase payment from Chase credit card was made by a Ms. Rai when, in fact, the documents, the loan agreements, the bank SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

F5leschc Kapur - cross statements all show that the Chase credit card payment was made by Manju Kapur when she was in a cash flow position to do so. And she came into that cash flow position when Bina Rai partially paid her back the loan that Manju Kapur gave to Bina Rai.

5

I'd also like to note that in the Schwarz's case, the car title of Ms. Rai's car was provided to the Schwarzes on two or three different occasions. In fact, there was even an order for the Court noting the car was formally owned by ThinkStrategy Capital. And the Schwarz's attorney repeatedly wrote to the Court that he did not believe that the car was formally owned by ThinkStrategy and submitted two letters to that effect. It seemed like bald-faced lies to this Court in writing.

It seems also, your Honor, that it's unbelievable to me that the SEC can't find client correspondence both in e-mails or in client databases. And at this point I feel that even if they did find this information in e-mails and client databases, they might contort matters or with --

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ ROESSNER: I object to the characterization of what the commission would do.

THE COURT: Mr. Roessner, Mr. Kapur is pro se. I think I would rather hear what he has to say and determine later on what weight to attach to particular statements by him. So the objection is overruled.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

145 Kapur - cross F5leschc 1 Go ahead, Mr. Kapur. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. I feel just the way the information has been presented 3 to me, the types of questions that have been asked and the way 4 this process has unraveled, I clearly am left with the 5 understanding and impression that information is being 6 contorted and withheld so that arguments can be made with facts 7 that are out of context or contorted. 8 I'd also like to note, your Honor, just as an example 9 of this, in Exhibit 7, one can see all the back and forth with 10 the law firms of Mossack Fonseca is coming from a domain name, 11 the correspondences with AIM Advisory Group, the 12 correspondences with ThinkStrategy Capital. There are many, 13 many e-mails in Exhibit 7, and 99 percent of those e-mails are 14 to and from AIM Advisory Group and ThinkStrategy Capital, but 15 the SEC produces the one e-mail yesterday that happened to be 16 from a personal e-mail address when the company e-mail was not 17 18 working. THE COURT: Does that complete your testimony on 19 20 cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. What we're going to do now is take a ten-minute 23 comfort break. When we resume, I'll entertain any redirect 24 examination, beginning with Mr. Roessner, then beginning with 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

```
146
                               Kapur - cross
     F5leschc
     Mr. Solotaroff, and then we'll see if there's any
     recross-examination and so forth.
2
               Once Mr. Kapur's testimony is complete, Mr. Roessner,
3
     I'll be inquiring whether you rest your case. And then we'll
4
      turn to Mr. Solotaroff and Mr. Kapur, in the event that either
5
      of them has any additional evidence to present.
6
               I'll see you in ten minutes.
7
               (Recess)
8
               THE COURT: Mr. Kapur, I'll remind you that you're
9
10
      still under oath.
               Mr. Roessner, do you have any redirect?
11
               MR. ROESSNER: Yes, your Honor.
12
13
      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
      BY MR. ROESSNER:
14
      Q. Good morning, Mr. Kapur.
15
16
      A. Good morning, Mr. Roessner.
      Q. You testified that it wouldn't have made sense in 2010 to
17
      create an asset protection structure, correct?
18
      A. To personally create one, correct.
19
      Q. If you could turn to SEC Exhibit 4 in the binder and flip
20
      to page three. On the bottom right-hand corner, it says page
21
22
      one.
23
      A. Yes.
      Q. Now, this is an e-mail chain between you and Adrian Taylor.
24
25
      Do you see that?
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                (212) 805-0300
```

- F5leschc Kapur redirect

 A. I do see that on the exhibit, yes.
- Q. And Adrian Taylor is associated with an entity called Asia
- 3 City Trust. Do you see that?
- 4 A. I do see that, yes.
- 5 Q. Now, on the bottom of this page, the first line of the
- 6 paragraph, it starts with Dear Chetan, here is the resolution
- 7 that needs to be signed by Dr. Max Albers before we can move
- 8 forward with the new trust.
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A. I do see that, yes.
- 11 Q. And what is that trust? Do you have any recollection what
- 12 that trust involves?
- 13 A. It was probably for some estate planning needs of a client.
- 14 Q. And do you recall the name of that client?
- 15 A. I do not.
- 16 Q. Do you have any documents regarding this transaction?
- 17 A. I can look through my sundry files, but I don't believe so.
- 18 Q. And the date of this e-mail between -- from Adrian Taylor
- 19 to yourself is October 16, 2009. Do you see that?
- 20 A. I do see that, yes.
- 21 Q. And do you know who Dr. Max Albers is?
- 22 A. I do not.
- 23 Q. The next paragraph, second sentence, begins, in this case,
- 24 because I have had to spend time drafting a fresh deed, I
- 25 propose that our fees to register the new trust be 1550 in the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

F5leschc Kapur - redirect

- first year and 1350 in second and subsequent years.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A. I do see that, yes.
- Q. The first question is: Do you know what she's referring to
- 5 when she says "a fresh deed"?
- 6 A. I do not.
- 7 Q. Do you own any property in the United States?
- 8 A. I do not.
- 9 Q. Any property internationally?
- 10 A. I do not.
- 11 Q. And do you have any documents regarding that transaction,
- 12 that deed?
- 13 A. I do not.
- 14 Q. Have you --
- 15 A. I haven't looked for it. I don't believe I do.
- 16 Q. Have you contacted Asia City Trust in the last year?
- 17 A. I have not.
- 18 Q. When is the last time you've spoken with anyone from Asia
- 19 City Trust?
- 20 A. From this e-mail, it seems October 16, 2009.
- 21 Q. You haven't had any communication since this e-mail was
- 22 sent?
- 23 A. No. Not that I recall.
- 24 Q. I just wanted you to go to the next page to look at Adrian
- Taylor's signature block. And she is in the Cook Islands. Do SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

F5leschc Kapur - redirect

- 1 you see that?
- 2 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
- 3 Q. If you can flip to the next page, page two.
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. I want you to take a look. Adrian Taylor, her entity is
- 6 based in the Cook islands. Do you see that?
- 7 A. I do see that.
- 8 Q. Did you ever deal with any other asset protection companies
- 9 or any companies based in the Cook Islands?
- 10 A. Well, clearly Asia City Trust.
- 11 Q. And besides Asia City Trust.
- 12 A. Not that I recall.
- 13 Q. The last paragraph on page two begins, as discussed, please
- find an e-mail from me. We can have the trust be named AP1
- 15 trust. Do you see that?
- 16 A. I do.
- 17 Q. Are you familiar with the trust AP1 trust?
- 18 A. I am not.
- 19 Q. Do you have any documents regarding AP1 trust?
- 20 A. I'd have to look through my files, but I don't believe so.
- 21 Q. You don't remember what client this would have been related
- 22 to?
- 23 A. Not after all these years, no.
- Q. Now, in 2009, October 2009, was your ThinkStrategy still in
- 25 existence? It hadn't been put into liquidation, correct? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

F5leschc Kapur - redirect

- 1 A. You mean the fund structures?
- 2 Q. Yes.

17

18

19 20

- A. No, not at that point. It was put into liquidation towards the end of 2010.
- Q. And at this point had suit been filed against you by the Schwarzes?
- 7 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 8 Q. Had the investors been making demands and claims regarding
- 9 their dissatisfaction at this time, do you recall?
- 10 A. Well, investors would generally -- after the crisis and the
- tsunami of the crisis hit the markets in September of 2008,
- investors just wanted a lot more detail on the investments,
- 13 what was going on with the funds. There were a lot more
- questions about the investments. They wanted to understand why funds were suspending, why funds were gating, why funds were
- restructuring, why they were providing restructuring options.

So there was a lot more involvement, because for years and years these funds were providing steady, stable returns and very study, stable risk profile. And all of a sudden, with the financial crisis, they were being hit not only the equity markets but the debt markets, the commodity markets, the real

- 21 markets but the debt markets, the commodity markets, the real 22 estate market. Everything hit all at once. And so investors
- 23 were upset at the valuation losses, if you will, in alternative
- 24 portfolios in general, including our fund.
- Q. One of the main issues -- one of the main problems that SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

151 Kapur - redirect F5leschc your fund involved -- occurred was, the investment with 2 Mr. Nadel? THE COURT: Sorry. I didn't hear the question. Can 3 4 you repeat the question. 5 MR. ROESSNER: Yes, your Honor. Q. One of the main problems that your business encountered was 6 the investment with Mr. Nadel, correct? 7 A. Yes. It was one of our investments, that's correct. 8 9 Q. And when did that occur? When did that problem surface? A. I believe we found out about that in early 2009, if I'm 10 recalling correctly. 11 Q. Now, if you can turn to Kapur Exhibit 9 or Defendant's 12 Exhibit 9, the one you went through during your testimony. 13 This was submitted to the Court in the SEC's case regarding the 14 disgorgement analysis, is that what you testified? 15 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And it was submitted at approximately mid2011? 18 A. That's correct. Q. Now, I've looked through the document. Is there any 19 reference in this document to the Family and Children 20 Charitable Foundation? 21 22 A. No. Q. And why not? 23 24 A. Why would it be? 25 Q. Okay. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

152 Kapur - redirect F5leschc THE COURT: The testimony is for you, not 1 Mr. Roessner, to give. 2 Is there a reason why the Family and Children 3 Charitable Foundation is not referred to in the analysis in 4 5 THE WITNESS: It's not relevant to the analysis in 6 7 Exhibit 9. Q. Why is it not relevant? 8 A. Well, this was a benefit to investor analysis for the 9 investors of the TS multistrategy fund. 10 O. The clients in the TS multistrategy fund, were any of those 11 clients also managed account clients? 12 13 A. I don't recall. MR. ROESSNER: I have no further questions, your 14 15 Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Solotaroff, do you have any redirect? 16 MR. SOLOTAROFF: Yes, Judge. 17 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SOLOTAROFF: 19 Q. Mr. Kapur, do you have the testimony binder, or is that 20 21 still on your desk? 22 A. Yeah, it's still on the desk. 23 Q. Could I bring it to you? A. Sure. I can pick it up. However you like. 24 Q. Mr. Kapur, I'm not going to refer to it just yet. I just 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300